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ABSTRACT

As maritime fulcrum nation, in Indonesia, marine environmental analytical chemistry field is still underdeveloped. So that why this review paper aims to provide a basic understanding of the use some molecular diagnostic indices using n-alkanes indexes and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) diagnostic ratios to estimate the source of apportionment of the hydrocarbons contamination and origin. The n-alkane chromatograms were then used to characterize the predominance of petrogenic or biogenic either terrestrial or aquatic. Furthermore, characterization allowed discriminating riverine versus marine input. The occurrence of unresolved complex mixture can be an evidence of biodegraded petroleum residues. For aromatic compounds, the prevalence of petrogenic, pyrolytic, and combustion-derived can be easily plotted by using isomers ratio calculation. This paper thus provides useful information on the hydrocarbon contamination origin, especially in marine sediments. Further researches should be undertaken in order to validate the use of molecular diagnostic ratio with isotopic approach.
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INTRODUCTION

As a “maritime nation continent,” which consists of 13,466 islands and a second longest coastal in the world ca. 99,093 km, Indonesia is often vulnerable from the maritime transport of crude oil...
and oil products by an accidental spill and chronic apportionment both naturally or anthropogenic that contribute to petroleum hydrocarbons contamination in the marine environment. Furthermore, maritime activities such as transportation of oils, domestic transport activity by fishermen and public can result in environmental waste even from downstream to estuaries sites in coastal regions can accumulate many pollutants (organic or inorganic). Biological and ecological effects of petroleum hydrocarbons on marine organisms have been reported by several authors (Liu, Liu, Gardner, Shank, & Ostrom, 2016; Zheng, Wang, Lei, & Nan, 2016). Such effects are depend on point and non-point sources of apportionment, bioavailability when pollutant reaches the environment matrices, their environment fate, the ability of the organisms to accumulate and metabolize various hydrocarbons that may lead to alteration of survival and reproduction rate in the environment. The long-term effects of petroleum hydrocarbons in the may result in noticeable ecological changes such as possible impairment of fisheries resources (Yan, Liu, Shi, You, & Cao, 2016) and changes in microbial community structure (Nayar, Goh, & Chou, 2004; Syakti et al., 2006).

Although the assessments of hydrocarbons apportionment may be conducted in the water (Drozdova, Ritter, Lendl, & Rosenberg, 2013), biota (Short & Springman, 2016) and sediment (Kanzari et al., 2014), the later may provide crucial evidence for overall physicochemical and biological process because of the properties of sediment as end reservoir of hydrophobic pollutant in marine environment. Moreover, there are some techniques available to the analytical chemist to assist in determining the source of the hydrocarbon pollution: age dating, the use of additives and dyes plus oxygenates and weathering patterns and hydrocarbon fingerprinting using gas chromatography and mass spectrometry (GC-MS) analysis. As we aware that identification of the origin of a contaminant, timing of release and its distribution in the environmental matrices are common issues in environment litigation (Morrison, 2000), this paper address to provide insight the use of the indices based on GC-MS analysis of petroleum hydrocarbons chromatogram profile in order to estimate the source of apportionment of the petroleum hydrocarbons contamination in marine environment emphasizing for the sediment.

**Hydrocarbons**

In a marine environment, hydrocarbon compounds have various origins including anthropogenic and natural. Anthropogenic input can be divided into two categories: (i) pyrolytic, when the hydrocarbons are released during the combustion of fossil in daily activities such as transport and industrial process e.g. oil refineries and petrochemical. (ii) Petrogenic, their primary source was entering marine environment during exploration, exploitation, supply and distribution of crude oil and oil products. Another source can be associated with industrial activities, combustion of fossil compounds, oil plants, and refineries, oil waste, urban waste, etc. (Syakti et al., 2013; Kanzari et al., 2014). For instance, the crude oils are constituted by 10 to 40% of aliphatic and isoprenoid fraction, 30-40 % of cycloalkane, 10- 30 % of aromatic and a complex mixture of asphaltenes, porphyrins, the nitrogen, sulfur, oxygen containing compounds and heavy metals. (Asia, Mazouz, Guiliano, Doumenq, & Mille, 2009; Syakti, Asia, Kanzari, & Umasangadji, 2012) (Figure 1). On the other hand, the natural origin refers to the compounds synthesized or produced by living organisms compounds, geochemical sources both formed during diagenesis and catagenesis in the sedimentary rock, and pyrolytic issued from forest fires and volcanic eruptions.
Saturated and Isoprenoid Hydrocarbons

In this review paper, we limited discussion for apportionment investigation by using aliphatic hydrocarbons such as straight (saturated) and branched (isoprenoid) or which were formed by biological (decarboxylation affecting linear and branched fatty acids), chemical or synthesized process. We exclude non-aromatic (cyclo alkanes) compounds drimane, steranes, and hopanes that are more appropriate for environmental forensic litigation. For the routine monitoring, the saturated hydrocarbons generally have to range from \( n-C_{10} \) to \( n-C_{40} \) and might have particular predominance number of atoms \( n-C_{15} \) and \( n-C_{17} \) in the case of marine while the \( n-C_{27} \) and \( n-C_{31} \) in case of terrestrial apportionment (Syakti, Hidayati, Hilmi, Piram, & Doumenq, 2013). Acyclic isoprenoid hydrocarbons have been found up to C25. However pristane (C19; Pr) and phytane (C20; Ph) are usually the most regarding concentration. Both are considered to be products of the diagenesis of the phytol side chain of chlorophyll, although other sources are possible. In marine environment, concerning pristane and phytane, (Rontani & Bonin, 2011) highlighted that procaryote play a role in formation Pr and Ph in the marine environment during invertebrate feeding, hydrogenation of isomeric pristenes and phytenes from degradation of the chlorophyll phytyl side-chain and/or \( \alpha \)-tocopherol (vitamin E) to pristane and phytane, respectively, and convert trimeric oxidation products of \( \alpha \)-tocopherol (produced abiotically in the water column and in the oxic zone of sediments) to pristane. Moreover, thermal maturation of isoprenoid components of archaea (isopranyl glyceryl ethers) also constitutes another potential source of pristane and phytane in thermally mature sediments.
Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) refers to a group of several hundred chemically-related environmentally persistent organic compounds of various structures and varied toxicity. In general, PAHs are considered non-polar molecules, hydrophobic and poorly soluble in water. PAHs may be present in the aquatic environment between two and ten aromatic rings. Also, the low vapor pressure PAHs were very low volatility which decreases with the molecular weight, with the exception of di-aromatic PAH, naphthalene, which can volatilize from the surface of the water or soil (INERIS et al., 2015). Given the marine environmental monitoring, US-EPA recommended 16 PAHs to be monitored (Figure 2).

Hydrocarbons apportionment using saturated and isoprenoid Indices

Taking into account that aliphatic hydrocarbons can enter the marine environment by natural (i.e. pyrolysis, diagenesis and biosynthesis) and anthropogenic processes (i.e. industrial activities, combustion of fossil compounds, oil plants and refineries, oil waste, urban waste, etc.) (Mille, Asia, Guiliano, Malleret, & Doumenq, 2007; Syakti et al., 2013; Kanzari et al., 2014), therefore, source of aliphatic hydrocarbons are multiple and difficult to determine. To simplify, molecular indices of aliphatic hydrocarbons i.e. n-alkanes and isoprenoid in sediments can provide information about their sources (e.g., terrestrial vegetation, marine algae or petroleum). There are several ratios for identified sources of apportionment in the marine environment as shown in Table 1 and Figure 3.

![Figure 2. Structure of 16PAHs (US-EPA)](image-url)
Table 1. Criteria for distinguishing between petroleum hydrocarbons and biogenic (marine/terrigenous) in the marine environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indexes</th>
<th>Petrogenic</th>
<th>Marins biogenic</th>
<th>Terrigenous biogenic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UCM</td>
<td>++(n-C23-n-C33)</td>
<td>+(n-C15-n-C20)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI (12-36)</td>
<td>≈ 1</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>&gt; 0.5-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAR</td>
<td>≈ 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAR</td>
<td>&lt;&lt;&lt; (weak)</td>
<td>&gt;&gt;&gt; (strong)</td>
<td>1 (mix between terrigenous biogenic and marins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMD</td>
<td>&lt; 0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C29/n-C17</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C17/Pr</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>≈ 1</td>
<td>≈ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-C18/Ph</td>
<td>&gt; 1</td>
<td>≈ 1</td>
<td>≈ 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pr/Ph</td>
<td>&lt; 2</td>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
<td>&gt; 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. CPI = Carbon Preference Index; NAR = Natural n-alkane ratio; TAR = Terrigenous/Aquatic Ratios; Pr/Ph = Pristane/Phytane; TMD = Terrestrial Marine Discriminant.

Unresolves Complex Mixture (UCM)

The UCM has been used extensively for decades to describe a gas chromatographic characteristic indicative of the presence of fossil fuel hydrocarbons (mainly petroleum hydrocarbons) in hydrocarbons isolated from aquatic samples (Farrington & Quinn, 2015) and has been considered to be the result of many coelutions of structurally of n-alkanes (Mille et al., 2007; Asia et al., 2009). Thus, the presence of UCM indicated the petroleum contamination in marine sediment.

n-Alkane based indices

Carbon preference indices (CPI)

Firstly introduced by Bray and Evans (1961) and then revised by (Marzi, Torkelson, & Olson, 1993), CPI derived
from gas chromatographic analyses have been used for decades. The CPI indicates the ratio between odd numbered n-alkanes vs. even numbered n-alkanes is around 1 for all crude oils and petroleum hydrocarbons, (Mille et al., 2007; Syakti et al., 2013). The value higher than 1 reflected biogenic sources.

$$CPI_{12-36} = \frac{(nC_{13} + nC_{15} + nC_{17} + \ldots + nC_{33})}{(nC_{12} + nC_{14} + nC_{16} + \ldots + nC_{36})}$$

In some lesser extent, CPI can be divided into low molecular CPI (< n-C20) and high molecular CPI value (> n-C21).

Terrigenous/Aquatic Ratio (TAR)

The terrigenous/aquatic ratio (TAR) is an indice proposed by (Meyers, 1997) showing the ratio between the concentrations of long-chain n-alkanes (nC27 + nC29 + nC31) to short chain n-alkanes (nC15 + nC17 + nC19). The principle for this proxy is that C27, C29, and C31 n-alkanes are characteristic of land plant waxes, whereas C15, C17, and C19 n-alkanes represent algal input (Meyers, 2003). Several authors have used this indice to discriminate the terrigenous vs. aquatic inputs (Asia et al., 2009; Syakti et al., 2013).

$$TAR = \frac{(nC_{27} + nC_{29} + nC_{31})}{(nC_{15} + nC_{17} + nC_{19})}$$

NAR has ben used to estimate the proportions of natural and petroleum n-alkanes. This ratio close to zero for petroleum hydrocarbons and close to one for higher terrestrial plants or marine plants (Mille et al., 2007).

$$NAR = \frac{\Sigma(nC_{19} - nC_{32}) - 2 \Sigma(nC_{20} - nC_{32})}{\Sigma(nC_{19} - nC_{32})}$$

Terrestrial Marine Discriminant (TMD)

TMD index was proposed by Syakti et al. (2013) in light of TAR and NAR which more particularly discriminate the apportionment from terrestrial versus marine. The term T is characteristic of higher terrestrial plants (Sikes, Uhle, Nodder, & Howard, 2009), and M covers marine (n-C17 + n-C19 + n-C21) and algal inputs (n-C15 + n-C17 + n-C19), as proposed by (Ficken, Wooller, Swain, Street-Perrott, & Eglinton, 2002). The addition of n-C23 correspond to specific marker for mangrove species (Koch et al., 2011); (Resmi, Manju, Gireeshkumar, Ratheesh Kumar, & Chandramohanakumar, 2016); and the n-alkane signatures of vascular plants and phytoplankton, as proposed in the TAR index (Meyers, 2003). Syakti et al. (2013) reported that a value of 1 occurs when the inputs from terrestrial and marine sources are equal. A value > 1 is consistent with a dominant terrestrial input, whereas a value < 0.5 corresponds to a dominant marine contribution. Values between 0.5 and 1 indicate a mixed system, such as an estuary.

$$TMD = \frac{T}{M} = \frac{(nC_{25} + nC_{27} + nC_{29} + nC_{31} + nC_{33})}{(nC_{19} + nC_{21} + nC_{23} + nC_{25} + nC_{27})}$$

n-Alkanes/Isoprenoid Ratio

Pristane (Pr) and phytane (Ph) are present in crude oils as a results from post depositional reactions that provide the catalytic hydrogenation of phytadienes and or oxidation (Pr) or the reduction (Ph) of the phytol side chain of chlorophyll. Those branched alkanes are naturally present in zoooplankton, algae or bacteria (UNEP/IOC/IAEA 1992; Mille et al., 2007). Pr/Ph ratio indicate the degree of maturity of a formation of crude oil source was in oxic condition (>1; mature) or anoxic (<1; immature). Higher ratio value (> 2.5) indicates that the oils were derived from mixed terrigenous/marine organic matter (Omotoye, Adekola, Adepoju, & Akinlua, 2016). Since isoprenoid is less biodegraded compared to their straight chains homolog (n-alkanes), n-C17/Pr and n-C18/Ph ratios can be used to evaluate the presence of oil and the relative biodegradation of n-alkanes. Low values of those ratios indicates the selective biodegradation of petroleum hydrocabons compounds (McIntyre et al., 2007; Syakti et al., 2006).
Molecular diagnostic using Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs)

After identification and quantification using appropriate analytical instruments (e.g. GC-MS, HPLC), PAHs diagnostic ratios allow distinguishing between PAH pollution originating from petrogenic, pyrolytic and burning biomass or coal sources. PAH diagnostic ratios can be applied to sediment or other environmental samples. The ratios were based on the comparison between abundance relative of two compounds which have same molecular weight but the different structure (isomers). For instance, Phenanthrene/Anthracene, Fluoranthene/Pyrene, Benzo(a)anthracene/Chrysene and Indeno (123 cdi) perylene/Benzo(ghi)pyrene (Yunker & Macdonald, 2003; Harris, Yunker, Dangerfield, & Ross, 2011). These compounds have adjacent time retentions. Some application of these molecular diagnostic ratio represented in Table 2.

Anthracene/(Anthracene+Phenanthrene) can be used to have an indication source of petrogenic (liquid fuels spills) when the value was lower than 0.10 and more than 0.10 was characteristics of pyrolytic (Combustion of fuel) (Budzinski, Jones, Bellocq, Piéard, & Garrigues, 1997; Commendatore, Nievas, Amin, & Esteves, 2012). This ration can calculated differently i.e. Phenanthrene/Anthracene ratio, if the values are more than 10. If the values lower than 10 means the source of PAHs from pyrolytic source (Wang et al., 2009; Wang, Xu, Zhou, Wu, & Kanchanopas-Barnette, 2015).

Fluoranthene/Fluoranthene+Pyrene value can be useful to evaluate the difference origin of contamination. When the ratio is less than 0.4, it can be considered to be characteristic for petrogenic origin (oil, fuel oil, coal). The values between 0.4 and 0.5 indicated a combustion of liquid fossil fuels while the ratio higher than 0.5 was generally be in favor of burning kerosene, grass, coal and wood (Yunker & Macdonald, 2003; Perra et al., 2011; Commendatore et al., 2012).

Accordingly, the ratio is robust to changes during phase transfer and degradation in the environment. Therefore Fluoranthene/Fluoranthene+Pyrene ratio seems to be more reliable to assess the pollution emission sources. In the other hand, when the ratio was calculated as fluoranthene / pyrene ratio, values below 1 indicate a petrogenic origin while superior values 1 will be for a pyrolytic origin (Culotta, Gianguzza, & Orecchio, 2005; Commendatore et al., 2012).

The third ratio to validate the differentiate petroleum and pyrolytic origin was Benzo(a)Anthracene/(Benzo(a) Anthracene + Chrysene). The ratio value below 0.2 was representative of a petroleum origin while ratio higher than 0.35 correspond to a pyrolytic origin. The value in between (0.2-0.35) might be stipulated as a mixed origin(Sprovieri et al., 2007; Barakat, Qian, Kim, & Kennicutt li, 2002).

The ratio corresponded to liquid fossil fuel combustion when the value ranged between 0.2 and 0.5, while a value greater than 0.5 would indicate a combustion of coal or biomass (grass, wood) (Harris et al., 2011; Rajput, Sarin, Sharma, & Singh, 2014).

On the other hand, other ratios were defined from PAHs parents’ isomers (C0) and substituted with C1, C2 (alkylated of PAHs) and also from the total of lightweight and heavy weight PAHs (show in Table 2).

The last simple ratio used was the abundance ratio of low molecular weight (≤ 3 fused rings) and high molecular weight (≥ four fused rings) (LMW / HMW). The LMW/HMW allowed to distinguishing between petroleum origin (ratio greater than 1) and pyrolytic (reported below 1) (Barakat et al., 2002; Commendatore et al., 2012).
Table 2. Index characteristics of PAHs sources in marine environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Petrogenic</th>
<th>Mix origins</th>
<th>Pyrolytic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anth/∑178</td>
<td>&lt; 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phe/Anth</td>
<td>&gt;10</td>
<td>0.4-0.5</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fl/∑202</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>(combustion of liquid fossil fuels)</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fl/Py</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BzA/∑228</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>0.2-0.35 (mix)</td>
<td>&gt;0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IndP/∑276</td>
<td>&lt;0.2</td>
<td>0.2-0.5</td>
<td>&gt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMW/HMW</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>(combustion of liquid fossil fuels)</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co (Phe et/ou Anth)/Co+C1</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Phe et ou Anth) ou Co (Fl et/ou Py)/Co+C1 (Fl et ou Py)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An : Anthracene ; Phe : Phenanthrene ; Fl fluoranthene ; Py = pyrene ; BzA : benzo(a) anthracène ; IndP: indéno (1,2,3, cd) pyrène ; LMW/HMW : low molecular weight/ high molecular weight;
LMW= Naph+Acy+Ace+Fl+Phe+An+Fluo+Pyt;
HMW= BzA+Chrys+B(b+k)Fl+B(e)Py+B(a)Py+IndP+DB(a,h)An+B(g,h,i)P ;
The abundance ratio of isomers of PAH unsubstituted parent / PAH isomers substituted (alkyl).

CONCLUSION

Hydrocarbons are widespread contaminants in river, lagoon, marine and coastal zones which majority consist of n-alkanes and PAHs. These compounds were introduced into the marine environment from some different sources including incomplete combustion of organic material (i.e. pyrogenic origin), discharge of petroleum and its products (petrogenic origin), a post-depositional transformation of biogenic precursors (i.e. diagenetic sources). The use of molecular diagnostic ratios could predict petroleum contamination or origin input to marine sediment but we have to take into consideration the inconsistent results from the literature compiled environmental samples. The ratios can also be misleading if samples are originated from more than one source that lead to inaccurate indication of apportionment source. Combined application of isotopic approach e.g. δ13C and molecular ratios in sediment may be a reliable way to perform a detail and qualitative study for multiple-source of apportionment.
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